

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/920,295	KREINDEL, MICHAEL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ahmed M Farah	3739	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Ahmed M Farah.

(3) _____.

 (2) J. M. Finkelstein (Reg. No. 21,082).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 February 2005
Time: 9:15 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14, 15, and 20-22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 14 fails to further limit its parent claim. Claim 15 has a minor typographical error. And claims 20-22 lack antecedence for a processor as recited in the claims. The applicant has authorized the examiner to make correction to the claims. See the attached Examiner's Amendment..